Attorney Docket No. 01-632   PATENT APPLICATION

INSTRUCTION QUEUE FOR EXECUTING AND RETIRING INSTRUCTIONS IN A DSP

Inventor: Hung T. Nguyen
                 4632 Portrait Lane
                 Plano, Texas   75024

Assignee: LSI Logic, Incorporated
                 1551 McCarthy Boulevard
                 Milpitas, California   95035

Hitt Gaines & Boisbrun, P.C.
P.O. Box 832570
Richardson, Texas   75083
(972) 480-8800

INSTRUCTION QUEUE FOR EXECUTING AND RETIRING INSTRUCTIONS IN A DSP

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001]   The present invention is directed, in general, to digital signal processors (DSPs) and, more specifically, to a instruction queue for executing and retiring instructions in a DSP.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002]   Over the last several years, DSPs have become an important tool, particularly in the real-time modification of signal streams. They have found use in all manner of electronic devices and will continue to grow in power and popularity.

[0003]   As time has passed, greater performance has been demanded of DSPs. In most cases, performance increases are realized by increases in speed. One approach to improve DSP performance is to increase the rate of the clock that drives the DSP. As the clock rate increases, however, the DSP's power consumption and temperature also increase. Increased power consumption is expensive, and intolerable in battery-powered applications. Further, high circuit temperatures may damage the DSP. The DSP

clock rate may not increase beyond a threshold physical speed at which signals may traverse the DSP. Simply stated, there is a practical maximum to the clock rate that is acceptable to conventional DSPs.

[0004]   An alternate approach to improve DSP performance is to increase the number of instructions executed per clock cycle by the DSP ("DSP throughput"). One technique for increasing DSP throughput is pipelining, which calls for the DSP to be divided into separate processing stages (collectively termed a "pipeline"). Instructions are processed in an "assembly line" fashion in the processing stages. Each processing stage is optimized to perform a particular processing function, thereby causing the DSP as a whole to become faster.

[0005]   "Superpipelining" extends the pipelining concept further by allowing the simultaneous processing of multiple instructions in the pipeline. Consider, as an example, a DSP in which each instruction executes in six stages, each stage requiring a single clock cycle to perform its function. Six separate instructions can therefore be processed concurrently in the pipeline; *i.e.*, the processing of one instruction is completed during each clock cycle. The instruction throughput of an *n*-stage pipelined architecture is therefore, in theory, *n* times greater than the throughput of a non-pipelined architecture capable of completing only one instruction

every *n* clock cycles.

[0006]   Another technique for increasing overall DSP speed is "superscalar" processing.   Superscalar processing calls for multiple instructions to be processed per clock cycle.   Assuming that instructions are independent of one another (the execution of each instruction does not depend upon the execution of any other instruction), DSP throughput is increased in proportion to the number of instructions processed per clock cycle ("degree of scalability").   If, for example, a particular DSP architecture is superscalar to degree three (*i.e.*, three instructions are processed during each clock cycle), the instruction throughput of the DSP is theoretically tripled.

[0007]   These techniques are not mutually exclusive; DSPs may be both superpipelined and superscalar.   However, operation of such DSPs in practice is often far from ideal, as instructions tend to depend upon one another and are also often not executed efficiently within the pipeline stages.   In actual operation, instructions often require varying amounts of DSP resources, creating interruptions ("bubbles" or "stalls") in the flow of instructions through the pipeline.   Consequently, while superpipelining and superscalar techniques do increase throughput, the actual throughput of the DSP ultimately depends upon the particular instructions processed during a given period of time and the

particular implementation of the DSP's architecture.

[0008]   The speed at which a DSP can perform a desired task is also a function of the number of instructions required to code the task.   A DSP may require one or many clock cycles to execute a particular instruction.   Thus, in order to enhance the speed at which a DSP can perform a desired task, both the number of instructions used to code the task as well as the number of clock cycles required to execute each instruction should be minimized.

[0009]   Among superscalar DSPs, some execute instructions in order (so-called "in-order issue" DSPs).   In such DSPs, each instruction is written into the slots of a register within an instruction queue of an instruction logic circuit and marked with a "tag" to identify the order of the instructions.   Typically, such tags are numerically arranged to specify only the order that the instructions are written into the registers, and not the order of execution of the instructions.   At each clock cycle, one or more instructions within the registers are executed ("grouped") in accordance with grouping rules embedded in the DSP.   After being grouped, if an instruction is no longer needed, it is simply overwritten ("retired").

[0010]   Unfortunately, in even the most advanced DSPs found in the prior art, the re-ordering of instructions within the registers of an instruction logic circuit suffers from significant problems.

-4-

If some instructions within the instruction register are grouped and retired in a given clock cycle in an order that differs from the order in which the instructions were originally written into the register, the remaining instructions are re-ordered within the individual slots of the register.

[0011]   To illustrate this point, if four instructions are written into four consecutive slots, the instructions are conventionally identified by four consecutive tags associated with the slots. If only the first and third instructions are grouped and retired in a first clock cycle, the second and fourth instructions are re-ordered, or "shifted," within the slots. More specifically, the second and fourth instructions are shifted into the first and second slots, and are thus associated with the first and second tags. Those skilled in the art understand that, since each instruction may comprise a number of data bits, shifting remaining instructions from slot to slot within a register so that they are associated with appropriate tags requires shifting a relatively large number of bits after each clock cycle.

[0012]   The shifting of such large numbers of bits after each clock cycle typically leads to routing congestion within the instruction queue. In addition, shifting a large number of bits may also result in other routing problems, due primarily to a combination of the complexity of the routing circuit employed and

the number of bits shifted. Of course, such routing congestion and other problems typically leads to undesired timing delay within the DSP.

[0013]   Accordingly, what is needed in the art is an instruction queue for a DSP or other processor that consumes less power than those found in the prior art.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0014]   To address the above-discussed deficiencies of the prior art, the present invention provides for use in an instruction queue having a plurality of instruction slots, a mechanism for queueing and retiring instructions.   In one embodiment, the mechanism includes a plurality of tag fields corresponding to the plurality of instruction slots, and control logic, coupled to the tag fields, that assigns tags to the tag fields to denote an order of instructions in the instruction slots.   In addition, the mechanism includes a tag multiplexer, coupled to the control logic, that changes the order by reassigning only the tags.

[0015]   In one embodiment of the present invention, the mechanism further includes loop detection logic, coupled to the control logic, that prevents ones of the instructions that are in a loop from being retired.   In addition, the loop detection logic may prevent multiple instructions that are in a loop from being retired.

[0016]   In one embodiment of the present invention, the mechanism further includes an input ordering multiplexer coupled to the control logic and to the plurality of instruction slots and configured to write the instructions into the plurality of instruction slots.   In a related embodiment, the mechanism further

-7-

includes an output ordering multiplexer coupled to the control logic and to the plurality of instruction slots and configured to retire the at least one of the instructions.

[0017]   In one embodiment of the present invention, the instruction slots of the mechanism number six and the tags number six.   Of course, the mechanism may include any number of instruction slots and tags.

[0018]   In one embodiment of the present invention, the control logic further determines an order of at least one new instruction and causes the at least one new instruction to be written into at least one of the plurality of instruction slots.   In another embodiment, the control logic determines an order of multiple new instructions and causes the multiple new instructions to be written into at appropriate corresponding instruction slots.

[0019]   In one embodiment of the present invention, the control logic retires all of the instructions in response to receipt of a mispredict signal.

[0020]   The foregoing has outlined, rather broadly, preferred and alternative features of the present invention so that those skilled in the art may better understand the detailed description of the invention that follows.   Additional features of the invention will be described hereinafter that form the subject of the claims of the invention.   Those skilled in the art should appreciate that they

-8-

can readily use the disclosed conception and specific embodiment as a basis for designing or modifying other structures for carrying out the same purposes of the present invention. Those skilled in the art should also realize that such equivalent constructions do not depart from the spirit and scope of the invention in its broadest form.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0021] For a more complete understanding of the present invention, reference is now made to the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the accompanying Figures. It is emphasized that some circuit components may not be illustrated for clarity of discussion, and the exclusion of any components is not intended to limit the scope of the present invention. Reference is now made to the following descriptions taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0022] FIGURE 1 illustrates an exemplary DSP which may form an environment within which an instruction queue constructed according to the principles of the present invention can operate;

[0023] FIGURE 2 illustrates in greater detail an instruction issue unit of the DSP of FIGURE 1, which includes an instruction queue constructed according to the present invention; and

[0024] FIGURE 3 illustrates a schematic of one embodiment of the instruction queue of FIGURE 2.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0025]   Referring initially to FIGURE 1, illustrated is an exemplary DSP, generally designated 100, which may form an environment within which an instruction queue constructed according to the principles of the present invention can operate. Those skilled in the pertinent art should understand that the instruction queue of the present invention may be applied to advantage in other conventional or later-discovered DSP or general-purpose, non-DSP, processor architectures.

[0026]   The DSP 100 contains an instruction prefetch unit (PFU) 110. The PFU 110 is responsible for anticipating (sometimes guessing) and prefetching from memory the instructions that the DSP 100 will need to execute in the future. The PFU 110 allows the DSP 100 to operate faster, because fetching instructions from memory involves some delay. If the fetching can be done ahead of time and while the DSP 100 is executing other instructions, that delay does not prejudice the speed of the DSP 100.

[0027]   The DSP 100 further contains instruction issue logic (ISU) 120. The ISU 120 is responsible for the general task of instruction "issuance," which involves decoding instructions, determining what processing resources of the DSP 100 are required to execute the instructions, determining to what extent the

-11-

instructions depend upon one another, queuing the instructions for execution by the appropriate resources (*e.g.*, arithmetic logic unit, multiply-accumulate unit and address and operand register files) and retiring instructions after they have been executed or are otherwise no longer of use. Accordingly, the ISU 120 cooperates with the PFU 110 to receive prefetched instructions for issuance.

[0028] In a normal operating environment, the DSP 100 processes a stream of data (such as voice, audio or video), often in real-time. The DSP 100 is adapted to receive the data stream into a pipeline (detailed in Table 1 below and comprising eight stages). The pipeline is under control of a pipeline control unit (PIP) 130. The PIP 130 is responsible for moving the data stream through the pipeline and for ensuring that the data stream is operated on properly. Accordingly, the PIP 130 coordinates with the ISU 120 to ensure that the issuance of instructions is synchronized with the operation of the pipeline, that data serving as operands for the instructions are loaded and stored in proper place and that the necessary processing resources are available when required.

Stage	Employed to
Fetch/Decode (F/D)	- fetch and decode instructions - new instructions queued
Group (GR)	- check grouping and dependency rules - valid instructions grouped and retired - execute return instructions
Read (RD)	- read operands for address generation and control register update - dispatch valid instructions to all functional units - execute move immediate to control register instructions
Address Generation (AG)	- calculate addresses for all loads and stores - execute bit operations on control registers

Stage	Employed to
Memory Read 0 (M0)	- send registered address and request to the memory subsystem.
Memory Read 1 (M1)	- load data from the memory subsystem - register return data in the ORF (term defined below) - read operands for execution from the ORF.
Execute (EX)	- execute remaining instructions - write results to the ORF or send results to BYP (term defined below)
Writeback (WB)	- register results in the ORF or the ARF (term defined below)

TABLE 1: Pipeline Stages

[0029]   A load/store unit (LSU) 140 is coupled to, and under the control of, the PIP 130. The LSU 140 is responsible for retrieving the data that serves as operands for the instructions from memory (a process called "loading") and saving that data back to the memory as appropriate (a process called "storing"). Accordingly,

though FIGURE 1 does not show such, the LSU 140 is coupled to a data memory unit, which manages data memory to load and store data as directed by the LSU 140. The DSP 100 may be capable of supporting self-modifying code (code that changes during its own execution). If so, the LSU 140 is also responsible for loading and storing instructions making up that code as though the instructions were data.

[0030] As mentioned above, the DSP 100 contains various processing resources that can be brought to bear in the execution of instructions and the modification of the data in the data stream. An arithmetic logic unit (ALU) 150 performs general mathematical and logical operations (such as addition, subtraction, shifting, rotating and Boolean operations) and is coupled to, and under control of, both the ISU 120 and the PIP 130. A multiply-accumulate unit (MAU) 160 performs multiplication and division calculations and calculations that are substantially based on multiplication or division and, as the ALU 150, is coupled to, and under control of, both the ISU 120 and the PIP 130.

[0031] The DSP 100 contains very fast, but small, memory units used to hold information needed by instructions executing in the various stages of the pipeline. That memory is divided into individually designated locations called "registers." Because the various stages of the pipeline employ the registers in their

instruction-processing, the registers are directly accessible by the stages. The DSP 100 specifically contains an address register file (ARF) 170 and an operand register file (ORF) 180. As the names imply, the ARF 170 holds addresses (typically corresponding to memory locations containing data used by the stages) and the ORF 180 holds operands (data that can be directly used without having to retrieve it from further memory locations).

[0032]   Certain data may be required for more than one instruction. For example, the results of one calculation may be critical to a later calculation. Accordingly, a data forwarding unit (BYP) 190 ensures that results of earlier data processing in the pipeline are available for subsequent processing without unnecessary delay.

[0033]   Though not illustrated in FIGURE 1, the DSP 100 has an overall memory architecture that is typical of conventional DSPs and microprocessors. That is, its registers are fast but small; its instruction and date caches (contained respectively in the PFU 110 and the LSU 140) are larger, but still inadequate to hold more than a handful of instructions or data; its local instruction memory and data memory are larger still, but may be inadequate to hold an entire program or all of its data. An external memory (not located within the DSP 100 itself) is employed to hold any excess instructions or data.

[0034]   It should be noted in this context that the illustrated DSP 100 is of a Harvard architecture.   Its instruction and data memories are separate, controlled by separate controllers and separately addressed by the PFU 110 and the LSU 140, respectively. Those skilled in the pertinent art should understand, however, that the principles of the present invention are as easily applied to a von Neumann architecture (one in which instruction and data memories are merged into a single logical entity).

[0035]   Turning now to FIGURE 2, illustrated in greater detail is the ISU 120 of FIGURE 1, which includes an instruction queue 200 constructed according to the present invention.   Recall that the ISU 120 is responsible for the general task of instruction "issuance," which involves decoding instructions, determining what processing resources of the DSP 100 are required to execute the instructions, determining to what extent the instructions depend upon one another, queuing the instructions for execution by the appropriate resources (e.g., the ALU 150, the MAU 160, the ARF 170 and the ORF 180) and retiring instructions after they have been executed, invalidated or are otherwise no longer of use.

[0036]   The illustrated ISU 120 is capable of decoding and issuing up to six instructions in order. To perform this function, the ISU 120 receives partially decoded instructions from the instruction queue 200 within the PFU 110 of FIGURE 1 and

-17-

communicates with the F/D, GR, RD, AG, M0 and M1 stages of the pipeline to issue the instructions as appropriate.

[0037]   The ISU 120 contains an instruction decode block *isu_fd_dec* 210; a conditional execution logic block *isu_cexe* 220; a program counter (PC) controller *isu_ctl* 230; the instruction queue 200 (containing an instruction queue control block *isu_queue_ctl* 240 and an instruction queue register block *isu_queue_reg* 250); an instruction grouping block *isu_group* 260; a secondary control logic block *isu_2nd_dec* 270; and a dispatch logic block *isu_dispatch* 280.

[0038]   The PFU 110 sends up to six partially-decoded and aligned instructions to *isu_fd_dec* 210. These instructions are stored in a six slot queue 211. Each slot in the queue 211 consists of major and minor opcode decoders and additional decode logic 212.   The instructions are fully decoded in the F/D stage of the pipeline. The instructions in the queue 211 are only replaced (retired) from the queue 211 after having been successfully grouped in the GR stage.

[0039]   The contents of the queue 211 are sent to grouping logic in the GR stage of the pipeline for hazard detection.   Instruction grouping logic 263 within *isu_group* 260 governs the GR stage. The instruction grouping logic 263 embodies a predefined set of rules, implemented in hardware (including logic 262 devoted to performing

-18-

dependency checks, *e.g.*, write-after-write, read-after-write and write-after-read), that determines which instructions can be grouped together for execution in the same clock cycle. The grouping process is important to the operation and overall performance of the DSP 100, because instruction opcodes, instruction valid signals, operand register reads and relevant signals are dispatched to appropriate functional units in subsequent pipeline stages based upon its outcome. Resource allocation logic 261 assists in the dispatch of this information.

[0040] The conditional execution logic block *isu_cexe* 220 is responsible for identifying conditional execution (*cexe*) instructions and tagging the beginning and ending instructions of the *cexe* blocks that they define in the queue 211. When instructions in a *cexe* block are provided to the GR stage, they are specially tagged to ensure that the instruction grouping logic 263 groups them for optimal execution.

[0041] The PC controller *isu_ctl* 230 includes a PC register, an trap PC (TPC) register, activated when an interrupt is asserted, and a return PC (RPC) register, activated when a *call* occurs. These registers have associated queues: a PC queue 231, a TPC last-in, first-out queue 232 and an RPC first-in, first-out queue 233. The PC controller *isu_ctl* 230 also contains logic to update these registers and queues 231, 232, 233. A mispredict PC register, a

mispredict first-in, first-out queue 234 and associated logic keep track of mispredictions. Fetch PC logic 235 controls the prefetching of instructions and, accordingly, the PFU 110 of FIGURE 1. Subsequent PCs are calculated based on the number of the instructions grouped in the GR stage and the current state of the DSP 100. The state of the DSP 100 is affected by interrupts, branch mispredictions and return instructions.

[0042] The instruction queue 200 (containing *isu_queue_ctl* 240 and *isu_queue_reg* 250) actually contains the instructions which are queued for dispatch to the pipeline. In the illustrated embodiment, the instruction queue register block *isu_queue_reg* 250 has six 91-bit entries and input and output ordering multiplexers (not shown). *isu_queue_reg* 250 has a variable depth that depends upon the number of instructions grouped therein. *isu_queue_ctl* 240 contains all *isu_queue_reg* 250 control logic 241 and instruction retire logic 242. For the purpose of saving power, this instruction retire logic 242 checks for "tight loops." A "tight loop" is defined as a loop that has a maximum of six instructions. A tight loop can and should continue to reside within *isu_queue_reg* 250 until it has been executed for the last time. This saves power and time by foregoing repeated reloading of the tight loop. As instructions are retired from *isu_queue_reg* 250, newly decoded instructions in the queue 211 can be written to its empty slots.

[0043]   The secondary control logic block *isu_2nd_dec* 270

provides additional instruction decode logic 271 for the GR, RD, M0

and M1 stages of the pipeline. The main function of the additional

instruction decode logic 271 is to provide additional information

from each instruction's opcode to *isu_group* 260. The instruction

decoders in *isu_2nd_dec* 270 are the same as those employed in the

additional decode logic 212 of *isu_fd_dec* 210.

[0044]   Finally, the dispatch logic block *isu_dispatch* 280

includes control logic 281, five native opcode staging registers

282, 283, 284, 285, 286 (corresponding to the RD, AG, M0, M1 and EX

stages of the pipeline) and logic (not shown) to generate

instruction valid signals.   *isu_dispatch* 280 also transmits

register addresses for source and destination registers and read

enable signals to the BYP 190, the ORF 180, and the ARF 170. Among

other things, the control logic 281 uses grouping information and

a branch mispredict signal to determine when the staging registers

282, 283, 284, 285, 286 require updating.

[0045]   Turning now to FIGURE 3, illustrated is a schematic of

one embodiment of the instruction queue 200 of FIGURE 2.   In the

illustrated embodiment, the instruction queue 200 includes a

register comprised of six instruction slots (*slot0-slot5*) and six

corresponding tag fields (*tag0-tag5*).   Of course, the present

invention is not limited to only six slots with corresponding tag

-21-

fields, but rather is broad enough to include any number of slots and tag fields.

[0046] The instruction queue 200 further includes queue control logic 310 and instruction retire logic 320. As illustrated, the queue control logic 310 and instruction retire logic 320 are coupled to the instruction slots *slot0-slot5* via an input ordering multiplexer 330 and an output ordering multiplexer 340. First through sixth instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are provided to the input ordering multiplexer 330, perhaps from other components within the ISU 120 of FIGURE 2. Once retired, the six instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are subject to being overwritten. In addition, the queue control logic 310 and instruction retire logic 320 are coupled to the tag fields *tag0-tag5*. The instruction retire logic 320 is also coupled to a tag ordering multiplexer 350. A plurality of input signals are also shown as being provided to the queue control logic 310 and instruction retire logic 320, which are described in greater detail below.

[0047] The instruction queue 200 functions as follows. In accordance with conventional practice, instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* to be executed are provided to the instruction queue 200 during the processing of instructions in a DSP. The instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* enter the input ordering multiplexer 330 and are written into the instruction slots *slots0-slots5* in an order

determined by the control logic 310. More specifically, the first instruction *isu_inst0* is written by the input ordering multiplexer 330 into the first instruction slot *slot0*, and second instruction *isu_inst1* is written into the second instruction slot *slot1*, and so on until all of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* have been written into the instruction slots *slots0-slots5* in the intended order. As such, the tag fields *tag0-tag5*, corresponding to the instruction slots *slot0-slot5*, identify an order for the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5*. The order determined by the control logic 310 depends on the specific operation to be carried out on data within a data stream, and the present invention is not limited to any particular order of instructions.

[0048] In addition to determining instruction order, the control logic 310 may also determine how many of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5*, if any, belong to a single group of instructions, such as a group where all of instructions in the group are dependent upon a condition precedent. Those skilled in the art are familiar with instruction groups dependent on a condition (*cexe* instructions), however, the present invention is not limited to such groups of instructions. Moreover, the control logic 310 may also determine how many instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5*, if any, belonging to a condition group have not been retired, as well as other functions familiar to those who are

-23-

skilled in the art.

[0049]   As certain of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are executed or "grouped," one or more of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* may be retired. More specifically, when an instruction within a DSP has been executed or is otherwise no longer needed, that instruction is said to be "retired" and is purged from its specific instruction slot via the output ordering multiplexer 340. Alternatively, the control logic 310 may simply cause the instruction slot of a retired instruction to be overwritten with a new instruction.

[0050]   Therefore, as the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are executed, one or more of the instructions may no longer be needed. As mentioned above, instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* may be retired by being overwritten or removed from the instruction slots *slot0-slot5* by the output ordering multiplexer 340. The retire logic 320 determines which of the original instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* have been retired and which are non-retired.   Once this determination has been made, the retire logic 320 will generate an order signal 360 corresponding to an order of the non-retired instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* remaining in the register.   The order signal 360 is sent to the tag multiplexer 350, as illustrated in FIGURE 3.

[0051]   In accordance with the principles of the present

-24-

invention, the tag multiplexer 350 alters the order of the tags in the tag fields *tag0-tag5* based on the order signal generated by the retire logic 320. The order of the tags in the tag fields *tag0-tag5* is changed by the tag multiplexer 350 to correspond to the order of the non-retired instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* remaining in the instruction slots *slot0-slot5* of the register, with remaining tags assigned to new instructions provided in place of those retired. Stated another way, the remaining non-retired instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are not rotated to different instruction slots *slot0-slot5* when some of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are retired, as is done in prior art DSPs. Instead, the tags *tag0-tag5* used to identify the order of the instruction slots *slot0-slot5* are reassigned by the tag multiplexer 350 to reflect the new order resulting after certain instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are retired.

[0052] The principles of the present invention, as discussed herein, may be better understood with reference to a specific example of tag updating across several clock cycles. Looking first at Table 2, first through sixth instructions i0-i5 represent original instructions and are written into first through sixth instruction slots *slot0-slot5*. First through sixth tags *tag0-tag5* correspond in order to the first through sixth instructions i0-i5 and the first through sixth instruction slots *slot0-slot5*. In

addition, seventh through tenth instructions i6-i9 represent new instructions awaiting placement into appropriate instruction slots *slot0-slot5*. At a clock cycle *n*, the first, second and third instructions i0-i2 are grouped (e.g., executed and retired), while the fourth, fifth and sixth instructions i3-i5 are not grouped.

New Instruction	Slot	Instruction	Tag	Status
i6	0	i0	0	Grouped
i7	1	i1	1	Grouped
i8	2	i2	2	Grouped
i9	3	i3	3	Not Grouped
Not Valid	4	i4	4	Not Grouped
Not Valid	5	i5	5	Not Grouped

Table 2: Tag Update at Clock Cycle *n*

[0053]   Looking at Table 3, at clock cycle *n+1*, it can be seen that the first, second and third instructions i0-i2 have been retired, and the seventh, eight and ninth instructions i6-i8 written into the first, second and third slots *slot0-slot2*. In the prior art, the fourth, fifth and sixth instructions i3-i5 would have been rotated and rewritten into the first, second and third slots *slot0-slot2*, and the seventh, eight and ninth instructions i6-i8 written into the fourth, fifth and sixth slots *slot3-slot5*. However, in accordance with the principles described herein, rewriting instructions already present in the instruction slots wastes considerable resources. In addition, since instructions are

-26-

comprised of numerous bits, rotating instructions as such may result in other routing problems, such as routing congestion. Instead, as seen in Table 3, the tags *tag0-tag5* associated with the instructions have been reassigned to reflect the proper execution order of the remaining, non-retired instructions.

New Instruction	Slot	Instruction	Tag	Status
i9	0	i6	3	Grouped
i10	1	i7	4	Grouped
i11	2	i8	5	Not Grouped
i12	3	i3	0	Grouped
i13	4	i4	1	Grouped
Not Valid	5	i5	2	Grouped

Table 3: Tag Update at Clock Cycle *n+1*

[0054]   Also at clock cycle *n+1*, it can be seen that the fourth through the eighth instructions i3-i7 have been grouped. The ninth instruction i8 has not been grouped. In addition, tenth through fourteenth new instructions i9-i13 also await writing into appropriate instruction slots *slot0-slot5*.

[0055]   At clock cycle *n+2*, as set forth in Table 4, tenth through fourteenth instructions i9-i13 are written into the appropriately available instruction slots *slot0-slot1, slot3-slot5*. In this embodiment, the tenth through fourteenth instructions i9-i13 are written into the instruction slots beginning at the first available slot *slot3* after the ninth (and oldest) instruction i8.

-27-

Although writing new instructions in such a manner is advantageous, it is not necessary to practice the present invention. As before, the tags *tag0-tag5* associated with the instructions i8-i13 are reassigned to reflect the new execution order. Also as before, the instructions i8-i13 in the register are not rotated to establish the new order. Also at clock cycle *n+2*, the ninth through fourteenth instructions i8-i13 are grouped, making way for fifteenth through twentieth instructions i14-i19 to be written into the instruction slots *slot0-slot5*.

New Instruction	Slot	Instruction	Tag	Status
i14	0	i12	4	Grouped
i15	1	i13	5	Grouped
i16	2	i8	0	Grouped
i17	3	i9	1	Grouped
i18	4	i10	2	Grouped
i19	5	i11	3	Grouped

Table 4: Tag Update at Clock Cycle *n+2*

[0056]   In an advantageous embodiment, the instruction queue 200 further includes loop detection logic 370 coupled to the control logic 310. In such embodiment, the loop detection logic 370 may be employed to prevent the control logic 310 from causing instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* to be retired if such instructions are determined to be in an instruction loop. More specifically, certain instructions within the register may be used in a "loop"

-28-

where the instruction is repeatedly executed at different times. Those skilled in the art understand that such loop instructions should not be retired since they will be needed again.

[0057]   The loop detection logic 370 may be employed, in accordance with the present invention, to prevent any of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* involved in a loop from being retired and their corresponding tags in the tag fields *tag0-tag5* from being reassigned to reflect a different order. In a more specific embodiment, the loop detection logic 370 may be employed to prevent any of the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* involved in a "tight loop" from being retired, where a "tight loop" is defined as a loop having a maximum of six instructions when a maximum of six register slots are available.

[0058]   Moreover, the instruction queue 200 of the present invention may be particularly beneficial where loop instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are used, so those loop instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* are not invalidated after execution. Instead, the instruction queue 200 of the present invention can allow any loop instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* to remain valid and in their slots *slot0-slot5* with only the tags in the tag fields *tag0-tag5* corresponding to those instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* being reassigned.

[0059]   As illustrated, the instruction queue 200 may further

include additional input signals from surrounding components. Among those illustrated is an instruction group signal *isu_inst_group*. The instruction group signal *isu_inst_group* may be provided to the control logic 310 to establish how many of the incoming instructions have been grouped together during the GR stage of the pipeline. Those skilled in the art understand that determining which instructions are grouped may assist in determining the sets of instructions that should be executed and/or retired together, the instructions depending on the same condition precedent, which instructions may be executed in a loop, as well as other information.

[0060] In addition, a new/valid instruction signal *pfu_isu_new_vld* may be provided to the control logic 310. In such an embodiment, the new/valid instruction signal *pfu_isu_new_vld* indicates how many new and valid instructions have been decoded in the FD stage of the pipeline for use in the instruction queue 200. The control logic 310 then determines an order of any new instructions and causes the new instructions to be written into instruction slots *slot0-slot5*. In a related embodiment, an incoming instruction signal *isu_instX* may also be provided to the control logic 310 to indicate which decoded instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* have actually been written into one of the available slots *slot0-slot5*.

[0061]   In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a mispredict signal *pip_mispre* is also provided to the control logic 310. In accordance with conventional practice, if the mispredict signal *pip_mispre* is received by the control logic 310, the instructions *isu_inst0-isu_inst5* in the register are found to be no longer needed and the instruction slots *slot0-slot5* reset for new instruction. For example, a mispredict may occur if a branch was taken during execution of an algorithm that rendered a given set of instructions moot because of the choice made at the branch.

[0062]   Also in this embodiment, a reissue signal *isu_reissue* may be provided to the control logic 310.   The reissue signal *isu_reissue* may be employed to inform the control logic 310 that an "improper mispredict" was sent via the mispredict signal *pip_mispre*. For example, an improper mispredict may occur when a branch taken previously in an algorithm originally caused a "reset" of instructions in the register, but the algorithm has completed the branch and returned to the original branching point. In such a case, the instructions previously discarded are needed again. Of course, other types of improper mispredicts are also within the broad scope of the present invention.

[0063]   A   group   of   PIP   signals   *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1* may also be provided to the control logic 310.   From these PIP

-31-

signals *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1*, the control logic 310 can determine whether the PIP in the DSP has been modified before all the current instructions have been executed and retired. If the PIP has been modified, the current instructions will most likely be discarded and new instructions decoded and written into the register for execution in accordance with the modification. In addition, if the PIP has been modified, the PIP signals *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu0*, *pip_we_isu_creg_lsu1* may be used to determine how and where the PIP has been modified. With this information, the control logic 310 of the instruction queue 200 may determine the proper instructions to be executed.

[0064]   By providing a tag multiplexer that reassigns the tags associated with instruction slots in the register of an instruction queue, the present invention provides several benefits over the prior art. For instance, the present invention eliminates the need to rotate the instructions written into the instruction slots as one or more of the instructions therein are retired, to reflect a new order at each clock cycle. By eliminating instruction rotation, routing problems may be substantially prevented. For example, the routing congestion that typically occurs when multiple instructions are rotated in such situations may be eliminated.

Those skilled in the art understand that lessening routing problems allows an instruction queue, as well as the overall DSP, to operate more efficiently. Moreover, the principles of the present invention as described herein are employable in almost any DSP, while retaining benefits such as those described above.

[0065] Although the present invention has been described in detail, those skilled in the art should understand that they can make various changes, substitutions and alterations herein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention in its broadest form.